

CENTRALIZED INSTITUTION APPROACH:

THE TAIWAN CASE



Center for Educational Research & Evaluation,
National Taiwan Normal University
Presenter: Dr. Shu-Chen Chiang
2012.10.22/23-EXLIMA

TAIWAN EXPERIENCE: TIPED

 A brief of Center for Educational Research and Evaluation (CERE)

Introduction of Taiwan Integrated
 Postsecondary Education Database (TIPED)

ABOUT CERE

• The consolidation of two centers in 2008:

Center for Educational Research &

Center for Research on Educational Evaluation and Development

 Designated as Center for Educational Research and Evaluation in 2009

 One of the 5 university-level R&D centers at NTNU

RESEARCH SCOPE

政策研究與方案評鑑

Research on & Evaluation of Policies and Programs

資料庫建置

Database Development and Maintenance

高等教育研究與評鑑

Research on & Evaluation of Post-Secondary Education

基礎教育研究與評鑑

Research on & Evaluation of K-12 Education

國際比較研究

International Comparative Studies

教育研究與評鑑理論、方法與工具

Development of Theories, Methods, and Instruments for Educational Research and Evaluation





PROJECTS RECEIVED: THE CASE OF EDUCATION DATABASE GROUP

 Distribution of college graduates (Ministry of Education) 	2008-2012				
The construct of TIPED (MOE)	2009-2012				
 The longitudinal data set for teacher education (MOE) 	2009-2011				
 Upper secondary integrated database (MOE) 	2010-2011				
 Research on awareness risk of online questionnaire and 					
its response rate (National Science Council)	2011-2012				
 Taiwanese and Mandarin scholarship (MOE) 	2008-2010				
 Premier research on childhood development database 					
(National Science Council)	2010-2013				
 School evaluation on K-9 system of new Taipei City 					
(New Taipei government)	2012				

TAIWAN INTEGRATED POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION DATABASE (TIPED)

History:

Initiated by Dr. Samual, S. Peng in 2002

 Beginning in 2008, Dr. Li-Yun Wang takes the leadership and implements TIPED on a national scale



TIPED-CONT

(\approx : completed ; \star : in process ; ___ : with teacher education)

year	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Faculty			A.					A			
Freshmen		X		X			*	*	X	X	*
Junior		X		\mathcal{A}			☆	*	*	X	*
Graduate				A	X	X	X	X	X	<u>X</u>	*
One year after	X			A	X	☆	☆	☆	☆	∑	*
Three years after				☆							

COMPONENTS OF TIPED

Note: Numbers referring to those surveyed— 1 (freshmen), 3 (junior), 4(graduate), +1(one year after graduation), +3 (three years after graduation)

Input	Opportunity	Effort	Outcome
Ability eg. Competence (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot +1 \cdot +3)	Curriculum & Instruction (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 4)	Time Allocation	<u>Academic</u> (1 \ 3 \ 4 \ +1 \ +3)
Family& Neighborhood (1 \ 3 \ 4 \ \ +1 \ +3)	Teachers& Peers (1 · 3)	<u>Study Plan</u> (1 · 3 · 4 · +1 · +3)	Psychological and Behavioral Outcome (1 \ 3 \ 4 \ +3)
Disposition eg. Attitudes towards education & work (1 \ +1 \ +3)	Conditions of life (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot +1 \cdot +3)	<u>Learning</u> <u>behavior</u> (1 · 3)	Career/Emplo yability (4 \cdot +1 \cdot +3)
Prior Experiences (1 · 3 · 4 · +1 · +3)	School Infrastructure	Participation (1 · 3 · 4)	Satisfaction with college Education (1 \ 3 \ 4 \ +1 \ +3)



WHAT WE HAVE LEARNED ...

Challenges & Our Solutions:

 Uncertain budget vs. personnel issue <gradually stabilize the budget

 Changeable survey periods vs. questionnaires <sustain survey periods

WHAT WE HAVE LEARNED ... cont

 Poor school-based records <- educate/ assist institutions at the school level

Frequent changes of staff <- disseminate via workshops

Varying cooperation from schools <- promote continuously



THANK YOU!